

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/005,552	10/29/2001	Jay F. Kunzler	P02460	8018	
7590 06/22/2004			EXAMINER		
John E. Thomas			MOORE, MARGARET G		
Bausch & Lamb One Bausch & l	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Rochester, NY		1712			
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/005,55	52	KUNZLER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Margaret	G. Moore	1712				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHO THE M - Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiceriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, bly received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. 1y period will apply and will by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (3 ill expire SIX (6) MONTH: lication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 60) days will be considered timely S from the mailing date of this co DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. Immunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>10 June 2004</u> .						
2a)∏ 1	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (✓ Claim(s) 20 to 35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 20 to 35 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicatio	n Papers							
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the he oath or declaration is objected to by	•		-				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International te the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee he priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Appents have been re e 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s	s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)			nmary (PTO-413)				
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	·		Aail Date rmal Patent Application (PTC) - 152)			

1. Claims 20 to 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for certain hydrogels and contact lenses falling within the breadth of the physical requirements now present in the claims, does not reasonably provide enablement for the breadth of these physical requirements. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The Examiner is specifically concerned with the claimed upper limit of modulus. Applicants cite Tables 2 to 5 as support for the new limitations and Example 26 is the only example that can be relied up to support the upper limit of "no greater than about 113 g/mm². However the Dk value in this example is well outside the claimed range. This example is not within the breadth of the instant claims. All of the examples that are within the breadth of the claimed oxygen permeability range and water content have significantly lower modulus values. These examples and the specification as a whole fail to enable a hydrogel meeting the breadth of each of these claimed physical limitations.

In an effort to expedite prosecution, the Examiner notes that a claimed upper modulus value of "no greater than about 97 g/mm²" would be supported by these examples, since Example 21 shows a hydrogel having a modulus of 97 and meeting each of the oxygen permeability and water content requirements and Example 7 shows a hydrogel having a modulus of 92 (which can be considered to be within "about 97" due to the flexibility given to the term "about") and meeting each of the oxygen permeability and water content requirements. Such a limitation, in combination with the water content and oxygen permeability requirements, would not be anticipated or suggested by the prior art.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1712

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Margaret G Moore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

mgm 6/19/04